

Message

---

**From:** CN=Chon Shoaf/OU=RTP/O=USEPA/C=US [CN=Chon Shoaf/OU=RTP/O=USEPA/C=US]  
**Sent:** 9/27/2011 12:32:53 PM  
**To:** Cogliano, Vincent [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=51f2736376ac4d32bad2fe7cfef2886b-Cogliano, Vincent]  
**CC:** Keshava, Nagalakshmi [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=d125c2980ab348ec828ba8f513b95049-Keshava, Nagalakshmi]; Karen Hammerstrom [Hammerstrom.KarenLNDU@usepa.onmicrosoft.com]; Jones, Samantha [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=eac77fe3b20c4667b8c534c90c15a830-Jones, Samantha]  
**Subject:** Original FSSRC list of contacts  
**Attachments:** IRISupdateFSSCletteramanda.xls

At last weeks IRIS Update meeting you asked for the original list of contacts folks. I include it below. This list resulted after a briefing to the Risk Assessment Subcommittee of the CENR and subsequent conversations with the Executive Secretary, Robert Boyd. As you will see these are only inter-agency contacts. It was these contacts that then gave me names of scientists within their offices that could participate in the review process. As time progressed I received various notifications of office changes in some folks which resulted in the updated list I previously sent. I know even that list is now a little out of date.

The EPA contacts resulted from a subsequent briefing of the Science Policy Council and were included in the list at a later time.

You suggested at our last meeting that using the current Agency and inter-agency reviewers of the IRIS process might be a less confusing approach. I have no problem with that in that they are existing, experienced, and know the process (the IRIS update process would only be a little different, but the scientific and risk assessment approaches would be the same). So certainly if you want to use that existing group, that is fine with me.



IRISupdateFSSC...